PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNAT	IONAL SEARCHING A	AUTHOR	ITY	~NS.			
То:					PCT PCT		
					RITTEN OPINION OF THE FIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)			
Applicant's	or agent's file reference		······································	FOR FURTHER ACTION			
399-8	05P1158		•	. See paragraph 2 below			
•••			International filing date 23.08.2005	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 02.09.2004			
Internationa	d Patent Classification (II	C) or both	national classification an	d IPC			
Applicant		**					
Feli	a Networks,	Inc.	•				
1. 17	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
. 12							
Box No. II Priority							
	tive step and industrial applicability						
	Box No. IV L	ack of unit	y of invention		•		
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industri applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
L	Box No. VI	ertain doci	uments cited				
	Box No. VII C	ertain defe	ects in the international ap	plication			
	Box No. VIII C	ertain obse	ervations on the internation	onal application			
				•			
If In th th	2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of t International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
w	ritten reply together, wh	ere approp	considered to be a written priate, with amendments, n of 22 months from the pa	before the expiration	A, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA on of 3 months from the date of mailing of For rexpires later.		
F	or further options, see For	rm PCT/IS	A/220.		:		
3. F	or further details, see note	es to Form	PCT/ISA/220.				
				IA DE LA GO			
Name and a	mailing address of the ISA	√JP		Authorized officer			
	•				•		
	,						

Facsimile No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/015260

Bo	x No. I Basis of this opinion							
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under							
	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).							
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	a. type of material							
	a sequence listing							
	table(s) related to the sequence listing							
ľ	b. format of material							
	in written format							
	in coinputer readable form							
	c. time of filing/furnishing							
l	contained in the international application as filed.							
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.							
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.							
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.							
4.	Additional comments:							
•								
	•							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/015260

	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Claims	1-7	YES				
Ċlaims		NO NO				
Claims		YES				
Claims	1-7	NO NO				
A) Claims	1-7	YES				
Claims		NO .				
	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	Claims 1-7 Claims Claims Claims 1-7 Claims 1-7				

Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 2004-151750, A (Sony Corp.), 27 May, 2004 (27.05.04) Document 2: JP, 2002-353852, A (Sharp Corp.), 6 December, 2002 (06.12.02) Document 3: JP, 11-215026, A (Toshiba Corp.), 6 August, 1999 (06.08.99)

The subject matters of claims 1-7 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR.

Document 1 describes a semiconductor integrated circuit wherein a first antenna (antenna (21)) which has a non-contact IC card function and a wireless reader/writer function for the non-contact IC card, and makes communication with the approached non-contact IC card or the wireless reader/writer for the approached non-contact IC card is connected. The semiconductor integrated circuit comprises; a first demodulation means (a receiving data acquisition part (25)) demodulating a first receiving signal which is received through the first antenna and transmitted from the wireless reader/writer; a second demodulation means (a receiving data acquisition part (54)) demodulating a second receiving signal transmitted from the non-contact IC card; a rectifier circuit (22) smoothing a half-wave rectification of the first receiving signal; a regulator receiving the output of the rectifier circuit (22); a parasitic diode bridge circuit (71) smoothing a full-wave rectification of the second receiving signal; a first transmission means (a transmission data supply part (26); a modulation circuit (23)) which transmits a first transmission signal to the wireless reader/writer by a load modulation through the first antenna; a second transmission means (a transmission signal to the non-contact IC card by a differential output through the first antenna (paragraphs 22-65, Figs. 1-13).

Document 2 describes that demodulation is performed by one demodulation means (a multi-function demodulator) instead of demodulating by using separate demodulator in a wireless communication unit (paragraph 86, Fig. 4).

Document 3 describes that a full-wave rectification circuit is used in a non-battery operated wireless card (paragraphs 4 and 23, Figs. 2 and 5).

Since it is a well-known technique to a person skilled in the art to use the full-wave rectifier circuit in the non battery-operated wireless card as described in document 3, it is not considered to be difficult to make the invention regarding claims 1-7 by applying the technique of demodulating by one demodulation means to the invention described in document 1, instead of demodulating by a separate demodulator described in document 2. And making the constitution of the invention described in document 1 be the constitution having a demodulation means commonly using the non-contact IC card function and the wireless reader/writer function for the non-contact IC card at the rear stage of the full-wave rectification means.